

R^2 corrections to the cosmological dynamics of inflation in the Palatini formulation

Xin-He Meng^{1,2,3} * Peng Wang¹ †

1. *Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R.China*

2. *Institute of Theoretical Physics,
CAS, Beijing 100080, P.R.China*

3. *Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721*

Abstract

We investigate the corrections to the inflationary cosmological dynamics due to a R^2 term in the Palatini formulation which may arise as quantum corrections to the effective Lagrangian in early universe. We found that the standard Friedmann equation will not be changed when the scalar field is in the potential energy dominated era. However, in the kinetic energy dominated era, the standard Friedmann equation will be modified and in the case of closed and flat universe, the Modified Friedmann equation will automatically require that the initial kinetic energy density of the scalar field must be in sub-Planckian scale.

* xhmeng@phys.nankai.edu.cn

† pwang234@sohu.com

Inflation is an attractive paradigm for early cosmology (See e.g. [1] for a review). It assumes that the early universe was filled with a scalar field, the "inflaton". When this scalar field is in the slow-roll era, it can drive a de Sitter expansion. Thus inflation can solve some cosmological puzzles such as the horizon and flatness problems.

The main assumption of this paper is that initially the inflaton field is kinetic energy dominated, i.e. $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \gg V(\phi)$. This is a reasonable assumption in some inflationary models. For example, considering Linde's chaotic inflationary scenario [2]. This model indicates that the inflaton field would take on a wide range of different values in different regions of the universe, thus allows the inflation to occur under quite general initial conditions. Specifically, Linde [3] has shown that in the context of General Relativity, chaotic scenario can be realized even when the scalar field obeys $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \gg V(\phi)$ and attains the required condition for inflation in course of its evolution in FRW universe. Furthermore, recently it has been suggested in Ref.[4] that in the chaotic inflation model, if the kinetic dominated era is longer than the usual case so that the inflaton field approaches the inflationary trajectory relatively late, this may explain the suppressed lower multipoles in the CMB anisotropies recently observed by WMAP [5]. Thus it is well-motivated to study the kinetic dominated era as the pre-inflationary stage. In this era, it is reasonable to consider the universe emerging from the Planck era with the scalar field well displaced from any minimum, such that the typical energy is of order the Planck energy. Thus quantum corrections to the effective Lagrangian may play an important role. Starobinsky has suggested that the effective Lagrangian in early universe should include higher curvature terms such as a function of the Ricci scalar R [6] (See e.g. [7] for a general review of higher derivative gravity). Specifically, the R^2 corrections to the chaotic inflation have been widely analyzed in the literature, see e.g. Ref.[8]. The corrections induced by a R^2 term to early universe have also been investigated in some other scenarios, see e.g. Ref.[9]. We will discuss the R^2 term in this paper. However, it is conceivable that other more complicated terms should display a qualitatively similar behavior.

The starting point of the present work is that, generally, those modified gravity models with a Lagrangian of the type $L(R)$ have two *inequivalent* formulations: the metric formulation (second order formulation) and the Palatini formulation (first order

formulation) [10]. Recently, in Refs.[11, 12, 13, 14], the Palatini formulation is applied to the type of modified gravity models that intend to explain the current cosmic acceleration without introducing dark energy [15, 16, 17, 18]. There are many interesting features in the Palatini formulation of those models, e.g. the absence of the instability [14] appeared in the metric formulation [18] (However, this instability in metric formulation may be resolved by a R^2 term [17] or conformal anomaly induced terms [16]), the universality of the field equations for vacuum or source with a constant trace of the energy-momentum tensor [10], among others. Specifically, one interesting feature of the R^2 term in the Palatini formulation is that a R^2 term alone cannot drive inflation [13], in opposite to the well-known result in the metric formulation [6, 8]. Thus we expect that in investigating the R^2 corrections to the inflation in the Palatini formulation, we will find features that are different from those that have been studied in Ref.[8]. We have found that this is indeed the case: the standard Friedmann equation will not be changed when the scalar field is in the potential energy dominated era; however, in the kinetic energy dominated era, the standard Friedmann equation will be modified and in the case of closed and flat universe, the Modified Friedmann equation will automatically impose an upper bound on the kinetic energy of the scalar field.

In general, when handled in Palatini formulation, one considers the action to be a functional of the metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and a connection $\hat{\nabla}_\mu$ which is another independent variable besides the metric. The resulting modified gravity action can be written as

$$S[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{\nabla}_\mu] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (\hat{R} + \frac{\hat{R}^2}{3\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right], \quad (1)$$

where we use the metric signature $\{-, +, +, +\}$, $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$, $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor of the connection $\hat{\nabla}_\mu$, and $\hat{R} = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}$. The β is a positive coupling constant with dimension $(mass)^2$, and $V(\phi)$ is the inflation potential.

The first question one would like to ask about the action (1) is: what is the essential difference between the versions in the Palatini formulation and in the metric formulation? The differences will be more evident after we conformally transform the action (1) to the Einstein frame following the general framework of Flanagan [12] (See the

appendix for the detailed derivation of this result):

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{\beta/(2\kappa^2)}{2(4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2))} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4(4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2))} (\partial_\mu \phi)^4 - \left(\frac{\beta/(2\kappa^2)}{4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2)} \right)^2 V(\phi) - \frac{\beta}{8\kappa^2} \left(\frac{4V}{4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2)} \right)^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

Thus we can see that when written in the Einstein frame, the action (1) corresponds to the Einstein-Hilbert term plus a scalar field with non-canonical kinetic term (This is actually a type of higher derivative scalar field theory, see e.g. Ref.[19] for a review of the quantum properties of higher derivative scalar field theory. Furthermore, in some models, these terms may be responsible for instability of those models, see e.g. Ref.[20]). This is a type of k-inflation [21]. Thus our model can be viewed as a physical example for the phenomenological model of k-inflation. In Ref.[8], it is shown that when transformed to the Einstein frame, the action (1) in metric formulation will correspond to an Einstein-Hilbert term plus two scalar fields. So there are essential differences in the Palatini and the metric formulations of the same action. Thus it is not surprising that the effects of the R^2 term will be rather different in the metric and Palatini formulations. Specifically, in metric formulation, the R^2 term and the scalar field ϕ may lead to double inflation [8], i.e., two consecutive inflationary stages separated by a power-law expansion. This will not happen in the Palatini formulation. In this paper, we will show that an interesting feature will appear in the Palatini formulation that cannot be achieved in the metric formulation: the R^2 term will automatically require that the initial kinetic energy density of the scalar field must be in sub-Planckian scale.

One can proceed the analysis in Einstein frame and transform the results to the physical Jordan frame. This is the method adopted in the previous analysis of this model [8]. Now there is an much easier way. In Ref.[13], we have derived the Modified Friedmann (MF) equation of R^2 gravity for a flat universe containing dust and radiation. To investigate the corrections of R^2 term to inflation, and since recently the interest of inflation in closed universe is arousing (See e.g. [22] and references therein), we will generalize this derivation to arbitrarily perfect fluid with energy density ρ and pressure p and also to include closed geometry. We will see that by using the MF equation the features of this model are quite transparent.

Let us denote $L(\hat{R}) = \hat{R} + \frac{\hat{R}^2}{3\beta}$. Varying the action (1) with respect to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ gives

$$L'(\hat{R})\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}L(\hat{R})\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to $\hat{R}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}} \quad (4)$$

where S_M is the matter action. For a universe filled with perfect fluid, $T_\nu^\mu = \{-\rho, p, p, p\}$. Note that the local conservation of energy momentum $\bar{\nabla}_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ is a result of the covariance of the action (1) and Noether theorem, thus it is independent of the gravitational field equations (See e.g. Ref.[23]). Then the energy conservation equation $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0$ is unchanged.

When the early universe is dominated by the homogeneous scalar field $\phi(t)$ with potential V , we have

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \quad (5)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \quad (6)$$

The equation of motion for ϕ is of the standard form, which can be obtained by varying the action (1) with respect to ϕ or from the fact that the energy conservation equation is unchanged

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0 \quad (7)$$

In the Palatini formulation, the connection is associated with $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv L'(\hat{R})\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, which is known from varying the action with respect to $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$. Thus the Christoffel symbol with respect to $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is given in terms of the Christoffel symbol with respect to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \frac{1}{2L'}[2\delta_{(\mu}^\lambda \partial_{\nu)} L' - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_\sigma L'] \quad (8)$$

Thus the Ricci curvature tensor is given by

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2}(L')^{-2}\bar{\nabla}_\mu L' \bar{\nabla}_\nu L' - (L')^{-1}\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{\nabla}_\nu L' - \frac{1}{2}(L')^{-1}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}_\sigma \bar{\nabla}^\sigma L' \quad (9)$$

and

$$\hat{R} = \bar{R} - 3(L')^{-1}\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{\nabla}^\mu L' + \frac{3}{2}(L')^{-2}\bar{\nabla}_\mu L' \bar{\nabla}^\mu L' \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor with respect to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{R} = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}$. Note by contracting Eq.(3), we get:

$$L'(\hat{R})\hat{R} - 2L(\hat{R}) = \kappa^2 T \quad (11)$$

Then we can solve $\hat{R}$ as a function of T from Eq.(11).

$$\hat{R} = -\kappa^2 T = \kappa^2(\rho - 3p) = -\kappa^2(\dot{\phi}^2 - 4V(\phi)) \quad (12)$$

Thus equations (9) and (10) do define the Ricci tensor with respect to $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

We will consider the general Robertson-Walker metric (Note that this is an ansatz for $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and is the result of the assumed homogenization and isotropy of the universe, thus its form is independent of the gravity theory):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right) \quad (13)$$

where k is the spatial curvature and $k = -1, 0, 1$ correspond to open, flat and closed universe respectively. The $a(t)$ is called the scale factor of the universe.

From equations (13), and (9), we can get the non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor:

$$\hat{R}_{00} = -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{3}{2}(L')^{-2}(\partial_0 L')^2 - \frac{3}{2}(L')^{-1}\bar{\nabla}_0\bar{\nabla}_0 L' \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{R}_{ij} = [a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + 2k + (L')^{-1}\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^0\partial_0 L' + \frac{a^2}{2}(L')^{-1}\bar{\nabla}_0\bar{\nabla}_0 L']\delta_{ij} \quad (15)$$

where a dot denotes differentiation with respect to t .

Substituting equations (14) and (15) into the field equations (3), we can get

$$6H^2 + 3H(L')^{-1}\partial_0 L' + \frac{3}{2}(L')^{-2}(\partial_0 L')^2 + 6\frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{\kappa^2(\rho + 3p) + L}{L'} \quad (16)$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, ρ and p are the total energy density and total pressure respectively.

Using the energy conservation equation $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0$, we have

$$\partial_0 L' = \frac{2\kappa^2}{\beta}(1 - 3c_s^2)(\rho + p) \quad (17)$$

where $c_s^2 = dp/d\rho$ is the sound velocity.

Substituting Eq.(17) into Eq.(16) we can get the Modified Friedmann (MF) equation of R^2 gravity in Palatini formulation

$$H^2 + \frac{6k}{a^2[6 - \frac{2\kappa^2(1-3c_s^2)\rho(1+\omega)}{\beta+2\kappa^2\rho(1/3-\omega)}(1 - \frac{\kappa^2(1-3c_s^2)\rho(1+\omega)}{\beta+2\kappa^2\rho(1/3-\omega)})]} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho[6 + \frac{\kappa^2\rho}{\beta}(1-3\omega)^2]}{[1 + \frac{2\kappa^2\rho(1-3\omega)}{3\beta}][6 - \frac{2\kappa^2(1-3c_s^2)\rho(1+\omega)}{\beta+2\kappa^2\rho(1/3-\omega)}(1 - \frac{\kappa^2(1-3c_s^2)\rho(1+\omega)}{\beta+2\kappa^2\rho(1/3-\omega)})]}$$

where $\omega = p/\rho$ is the effective equation of state. It is interesting to note that when $\kappa^2\rho \ll \beta$, the MF equation (18) reduces exactly to the standard Friedmann equation $H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho$, independent of the specific form of ρ .

We will analyze the cosmological dynamics for the slow-roll and kinetic dominated stages respectively.

First, when the scalar field is in the slow-roll region, we have $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi)$, thus $\rho = V = -p$ and $\omega = -1$. Substituting those to Eq.(18) we find that Eq.(18) reduces exactly to the standard Friedmann equation

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}V(\phi) \quad (19)$$

Note that different from the remarks we make below Eq.(18), we do not assume $\kappa^2\rho = \kappa^2V \ll \beta$ here. Thus, in contrast to the case of metric formulation of the action (1) [8], the appearance of a R^2 term will not affect the standard inflation dynamics when the inflaton field is in the slow-roll region.

Next we consider the kinetic energy dominated era, i.e. $\dot{\phi}^2 \gg V(\phi)$. In this case we have $\rho = p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$ and $\omega = c_s^2 = 1$ which correspond to stiff matter.

Eq.(18) in this case reduces to

$$H^2 + \frac{6k}{a^2[6 + \frac{4\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2(1+4\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2/(3\beta))}{\beta(1-2\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2/(3\beta))^2}]} = \frac{\frac{\kappa^2}{6}\dot{\phi}^2(6 + \frac{2\kappa^2}{\beta}\dot{\phi}^2)}{(1 - \frac{2\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2}{3\beta})[6 + \frac{4\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2(1+4\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2/(3\beta))}{\beta(1-2\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2/(3\beta))^2}]} \quad (20)$$

Now we can see that for a flat and closed universe model, i.e. $k = 0, 1$, positivity of the right-hand side of this equation requires that

$$\rho_{kin} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 < \frac{3\beta}{4\kappa^2} \equiv \rho_{kin}^{max} \quad (21)$$

Thus the kinetic energy of the scalar field is bounded from above. Note that from the perspective of effective field theory, we should have $\beta \sim M_p^2$. Thus, the bound

(21) says that in presence of the R^2 term, we should require $\rho_{kin} < M_p^4$. This bound is quite remarkable. In General Relativity, there is no natural bound to prevent us from assuming that initially $\rho_{kin} > M_p^4$ which is very problematic. Now we can see that higher curvature terms such as the R^2 term may automatically guarantee that the initial kinetic energy to be sub-Planckian.

Next, to ensure that the MF equation does not prevent the transition from kinetic energy dominated era to potential energy dominated era [3], we consider the evolution in the kinetic energy dominated era. We consider only the flat case for simplicity. In this era, Eq.(7) reduces to

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = 0 \quad (22)$$

which can be integrated to give

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = 2\rho_{kin}^0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^6 \quad (23)$$

where $\rho_{kin}^0 < \rho_{kin}^{max}$ is the initial value of the kinetic density of the scalar field and a_0 is the initial value of the scalar factor.

Substituting Eq.(23) into Eq.(20), we can get

$$H^2 = \frac{C\beta}{6} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^6 \frac{[3 + C(\frac{a_0}{a})^6][1 - \frac{2C}{3}(\frac{a_0}{a})^6]}{3 - 2C(\frac{a_0}{a})^6 + 4C^2(\frac{a_0}{a})^{12}} \quad (24)$$

where the constant $C \equiv 3\rho_{kin}^0/(2\rho_{kin}^{max}) < 3/2$.

Since we have $a > a_0$, we can expand the right-hand side of Eq.(24) up to the order $(a_0/a)^{12}$, which reads

$$H^2 = \frac{C\beta}{18} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^6 [3 + C(\frac{a_0}{a})^6] \quad (25)$$

This equation can be integrated to obtain

$$a(t) = a_0 \left\{ \frac{3C\beta}{2} [t - t_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\beta} + \frac{2}{3C\beta}}]^2 - \frac{C}{3} \right\}^{\frac{1}{6}} \quad (26)$$

where t_0 is the initial time. Thus from Eq.(23), we can find that the scalar field evolves as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\rho_{kin}^0}{3C\beta}} \{ \ln[t - t_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\beta} + \frac{2}{3C\beta}}] + \sqrt{(t - t_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\beta} + \frac{2}{3C\beta}})^2 - \frac{2}{9\beta}} \\ - \ln(\sqrt{\frac{2}{9\beta} + \frac{2}{3C\beta}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3C\beta}}) \} + \phi_0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where ϕ_0 is the initial value of the scalar field.

Thus, as in the case of General Relativity [3], for sufficiently flat forms of the scalar potential, the pre-inflationary stage ends very soon as the kinetic energy decreases more quickly than the potential energy does, and then the universe begins to inflate according to the standard scenario.

In conclusion, we have investigated the corrections to the inflationary cosmological dynamics due to a R^2 term in the Palatini formulation which may arise as quantum corrections to the effective Lagrangian in early universe. We have argued that the standard slow-roll stage will proceed as usual when the scalar field is in the potential energy dominated era and hence the main predictions of inflation model will not be changed. However, in the kinetic energy dominated era that corresponds to the pre-inflationary era, the standard Friedmann equation will be modified and the Modified Friedmann equation will impose an upper bound which states that the initial kinetic energy density of the scalar field must be sub-Planck.

Note that in this paper we have only studied the two extremal cases: kinetic energy dominated and potential energy dominated. After the inflation ends, the inflaton field will enter the coherent oscillation phase where the potential energy will be of the same order as the kinetic energy. Considering the effects of modified cosmological dynamics such as being induced by the R^2 term or in the braneworld scenario to the temperature evolution in the reheating stage is an interesting thing to do, which may offer us new bounds on the reheating temperature in order to constrain the overproduction of gravitinos, black holes and many other exotic objects. To study those effects, the main technical tool one needs is the Modified Friedmann equation. Thus we can study the R^2 correction to the reheating stage using the full MF equation (18) derived in this paper. Due to its complicity, it can only be studied numerically.

Acknowledgements

We would especially like to thank the referees' invaluable comments and suggestions. We would also like to thank Profs. D.Lyth, S.D.Odintsov and S.Nojiri for helpful discussions and correspondences. This work is partly supported by China Doctoral

- [1] A.R.Lidde and D.H.Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large Scale Structure*, Cambridge University Press, 2000;
- [2] A.Linde, *Phys.Lett.* **B129** (1983) 177;
- [3] A.Linde, *Phys.Lett.* **B162** (1985) 281;
- [4] C.R.Contaldi, M.Peloso, L.Kofman and A.Linde, *JCAP* **0307** (2003) 002 [astro-ph/0303636];
- [5] D.N.Spergel, et al., *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **148** (2003) 1 [astro-ph/0302207] ; L.Page et al. astro-ph/0302220; M.Nolta, et al, astro-ph/0305097; C.Bennett, et al, *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **148** (2003) 175 [astro-ph/0302209];
- [6] A.A.Starobinsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B91** (1980) 99;
- [7] I.L.Buchbinder, S.D.Odintsov and I.L.Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity*, IOP Publishing, 1992;
- [8] L.Kofman, A.Linde and A.A.Starobinsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B157** (1985) 361; S.Gottlober, V.Muller and A.Starobinsky, *Phys.Rev.* **D43** (1991) 2510; A.Starobinsky, S.Tsujikawa and J.Yokoyama, *Nucl.Phys.* **B610** (2001) 383; V.H.Cardenas, S. del Campo and R. Herrera, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A18** (2003) 2039 [gr-qc/0308040];
- [9] G.F.R.Ellis, J.Murugan and C.G.Tsagas, gr-qc/0307112; A.Tolley and N.Turok, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 106005 [hep-th/0204091];
- [10] M.Ferraris, M.Francaviglia and I.Volovich, *Nouvo Cim.* **B108** (1993) 1313 [gr-qc/9303007]; *ibid*, *Class. Quant.Grav.* **11** (1994) 1505; G.F.Rubilar, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** (1998) 239;
- [11] D. N. Vollick, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 063510 [astro-ph/0306630];
- [12] É.É.Flanagan, astro-ph/0308111; *ibid*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2003) 417 [gr-qc/0309015];
- [13] X.H.Meng and P.Wang, astro-ph/0308284;
- [14] X.H.Meng and P.Wang, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 4949 [astro-ph/0307354]; *ibid*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** (2004) 951 [astro-ph/0308031]; *ibid*, to appear in *Phys.Lett.B*

- [hep-th/0309062];
- [15] S.M.Carroll, V.Duvvuri, M.Trodden and M. Turner, astro-ph/0306438; S. Capozziello, S. Carloni and A. Troisi, "Recent Research Developments in Astronomy & Astrophysics"-RSP/AA/21-2003 [astro-ph/0303041]; S.Capozziello, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D11** (2002) 483; S.Nojiri and S.D.Odintsov, hep-th/0307071; S.Nojiri and S.D.Odintsov, hep-th/0308176; T.Chiba, astro-ph/0307338; R.Dick, gr-qc/0307052; M. E. Soussa and R. P. Woodard, astro-ph/0308114;
- [16] S.Nojiri and S.D.Odintsov, hep-th/0310045;
- [17] S.Nojiri and S.D.Odintsov, hep-th/0307288;
- [18] A. D. Dolgov and M. Kawasaki, astro-ph/0307285;
- [19] E.Elizalde,A. Jacksenae, S.D. Odintsov and I L Shapiro, Phys.Lett. **B328** (1994) 297; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A16** (2001) 1015 [hep-th/0009202];
- [20] S. Nojiri and S.D.Odintsov, hep-th/0310296;
- [21] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. Mukhanov, Phys.Lett. **B458** (1999) 209;
- [22] A.Linde, JCAP **0305** (2003) 002 [astro-ph/0303245]; S.A.Pavluhenko, astro-ph/0309834;
- [23] D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth and A. R. Liddle, Phys.Rev. **D62** (2000) 043527 [astro-ph/0003278];

Appendix:

The general framework of the correspondence between the Jordan and Einstein frames for Palatini formulation has been developed in Ref.[12]. Adopting this framework, it can be shown that action (1) is conformally equivalent to

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \alpha, \phi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \tilde{V}(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\alpha} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - e^{4\alpha} V(\phi) \right] \quad (28)$$

where

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\alpha} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{V}(\alpha) = \frac{\beta}{8\kappa^2} (1 - e^{2\alpha})^2 \quad (30)$$

Note that the scalar field α has no kinetic term, thus it is not a dynamical degree of

freedom. Its equation of motion can be solved to give

$$e^{2\alpha} = \frac{-(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \beta/(2\kappa^2)}{4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2)} \quad (31)$$

Substituting this into Eq.(28), we can integrate the α field out from the action and the resulting action is just the form of Eq.(2)

$$\begin{aligned} S[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] = & \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{\beta/(2\kappa^2)}{2(4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2))} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4(4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2))} (\partial_\mu \phi)^4 - \left(\frac{\beta/(2\kappa^2)}{4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2)} \right)^2 V(\phi) - \frac{\beta}{8\kappa^2} \left(\frac{4V}{4V + \beta/(2\kappa^2)} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Thus we can see that when written in the Einstein frame, the action (1) corresponds to the Einstein-Hilbert term plus a scalar field with non-canonical kinetic term.